

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/507,455	07/21/2005	Matthew Scott Howarth	P01105-US	1394	
3017 DARI OW 101	7590 06/18/2007		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BARLOW, JOSEPHS & HOLMES, LTD. 101 DYER STREET 5TH FLOOR PROVIDENCE, RI 02903		ID.	NOLAND,	NOLAND, THOMAS	
		÷	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2856		
•		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			Z)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/507,455	. HOWARTH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas P. Noland	2856	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. pply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communica ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	July 2005.		
·	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	· •	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	г <i>Ex рапе Quayle</i> , 1935 С.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	:
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-29 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-29 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	•	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 September 2004</u> is	•	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,	•	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not t	received.	
	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20051129, 20051202.	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/507,455 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

1. The preliminary amendment filed Sept. 13, 2004 has been entered.

- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it should be rewritten on a separate sheet of paper absent extraneous material because the copy from PCT Gazette did not produce a scanned image of sufficient legibility. The use of a larger font therein is also requested. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the external circuitry of claims 26-29 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure

Application/Control Number: 10/507,455

Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 5. The specification should be amended to correspond to any proposed drawing correction.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no proper antecedent for "said damping member" in line 3 of claims 26 and 27.

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/507,455 Page 4

Art Unit: 2856

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 10-15 and 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Briggs WO 98/52037, cited in the IDS.

Note abstract, Figs. 17-19, page 2, lines 6-13 and page 21, line 13-page 23, line

- 7. Note cap 42 itself and the open space it encloses would act as a damping member.
- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Briggs in view of Croshier US 2,784,595.

Briggs differs from the invention of claims 16-19 in that it does not show the transducer as generally hemispherical in shape in the part that contacts the fruit or vegetable surface. However such would have been an obvious expedient when direct contact with the transducer was necessary to activate it while maintaining the utility of the hemispherical contact evident from Briggs and in view of the teaching by Croshier especially in its drawings and column 2, lines 21-22 which discloses a hemispherical measuring contact on a combination plunger and mechanical transducer.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,455 Page 5

Art Unit: 2856

12. Sakurai US 6,857,317, not prior art, discloses a device for measuring food physicality with a thrust jig having a curved contact surface attached to a piston but

does not claim that the piston per se contacts the food item.

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references show fruit or vegetable condition monitors, the use of hemispherical contact elements in measuring system, or were cited in the

specification.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (571) 272-2202. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached on (571) 272-2208.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to 2800 Customer Service at (571) 272-2815.

Thomas P. Noland Primary Examiner Art Unit 2856

Hom Nert

June 14, 2007